Document 20

Filed 04/11/2008

Page 1 of 8

Case 3:08-cv-00207-JLS-WMC

1.

# 2

# 3

# 5

4

### 6 7

### 8

# 10

### 11

### 12

### 13

### 14

### 15

### 16 17

# 18

## 19

# 20

### 21

### 22 23

### 24

### 25 26

### 27

# 28

PROPOSED DISCOVERY SCHEDULE.

PLAINTIFF, AMCO INSURANCE COMPANY ("AMCO"):

AMCO will take the deposition of a person most knowledgeable for GROHE and Third-Party Defendant, VOSS PLUMBING ("VOSS") as well as the depositions of any Forensic Engineering Consultants or any other retained experts of **GROHE** and/or VOSS. AMCO will also serve written discovery, including Special Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents on GROHE and VOSS. AMCO will subpoena any and all relevant records of GROHE in connection with its respective affirmative defenses and denials related to AMCO's negligence and products liability claims.

AMCO does not anticipate any changes in respect to timing limitation(s) or any matters affecting any party in this litigation. AMCO does not believe discovery should be conducted in phases.

### **DEFENDANT, GROHE AMERICA, INC. ("GROHE"):**

Defendant, GROHE AMERICA, INC. ("GROHE") will take the deposition of a person most knowledgeable for Plaintiff, AMCO; Third Party Defendant VOSS PLUMBING ("VOSS"); and the Forensic Engineering Expert of AMCO. GROHE will also serve written discovery including Special Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents. GROHE will subpoen any and all relevant records of **AMCO** in connection with its respective claim for products liability.

**GROHE** does not anticipate any changes in respect to timing limitation(s) or any matters affecting any party in this litigation. GROHE does not believe discovery should be conducted in phases.

### PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF LAW AND MOTION AND PROPOSED 2. **MOTION CUT-OFF DATE.**

### **PLAINTIFF, AMCO:**

AMCO does not anticipate any law and motion on discovery matters. AMCO proposes a dispositive motion cut-off date of thirty (30) days prior to the date the

Court sets the Pretrial Conference.

### **DEFENDANT, GROHE:**

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

**GROHE** does not anticipate any law and motion on discovery matters.

**GROHE** proposes a dispositive motion cut-off date of thirty (30) days prior to the date the Court sets for the Pretrial Conference.

### 3. <u>SETTLEMENT EFFORTS.</u>

### **PLAINTIFF, AMCO:**

As soon as **AMCO** obtains the necessary discovery concerning **GROHE**'s affirmative defenses and denials related to **AMCO**'s negligence and products liability claims, and **VOSS**'s responses to discovery, it suggests that the matter be placed in an acceptable ADR program, including Private Mediation.

### **DEFENDANT, GROHE:**

As soon as **GROHE** obtains the necessary discovery concerning theories of liability and damages, it suggests that the matter be placed in an acceptable ADR program, including Private Mediation.

# 4. <u>ESTIMATED LENGTH OF TRIAL, PROPOSED DATE FOR FINAL</u> PRETRIAL CONFERENCE AND TRIAL.

### **PLAINTIFF, AMCO:**

AMCO estimates that the Trial in this matter will take four to six (4-6) days. AMCO proposes a Pretrial Conference, but suggests the date be set at least one-hundred eighty (180) days from the Scheduling or Early Neutral Evaluation Conference.

### **DEFENDANT, GROHE:**

**GROHE** estimates that the Trial in this matter will take four (4) days. **GROHE** proposes a Pretrial Conference, but suggests the date be set at least one-hundred eighty (180) days from the Scheduling or Early Neutral Evaluation Conference.

///

### 5. <u>LIKELIHOOD OF ADDING ADDITIONAL PARTIES.</u>

### **PLAINTIFF, AMCO:**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The parties have stipulated to allow **GROHE** to file a Third-Party Complaint as to **VOSS**. **AMCO** intends to amend its Complaint to assert a claim against **VOSS**, unless such becomes unnecessary during the course of this litigation. Otherwise, **AMCO** does not anticipate adding any other parties, unless such are revealed during discovery.

### **DEFENDANT, GROHE:**

**GROHE** has filed a Third-Party Complaint against **VOSS**.

### 6. **JURY TRIAL**.

### **PLAINTIFF, AMCO:**

**AMCO** has no preference regarding a Jury Trial, however, **GROHE** has requested a Jury Trial.

### DEFENDANT, GROHE:

**GROHE** has requested a Jury Trial.

### 7. <u>ISSUES AFFECTING THE STATUS OR MANAGEMENT OF THE</u>

### CASE.

### PLAINTIFF, AMCO:

**AMCO** is unaware of any issues affecting the status or management of this case. **AMCO** believes that all discovery should be regulated pursuant to *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure*, without any limitations or changes.

### **DEFENDANT, GROHE:**

**GROHE** is unaware of any issues affecting the status or management of this case. **GROHE** believes that all discovery should be regulated pursuant to *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure*, without any limitations or changes.

### 8. <u>SEVERANCE BIFURCATION, ORDER OF PROOF.</u>

### **PLAINTIFF, AMCO:**

**AMCO** does not believe there are any issues in this case that would require severance or bifurcation. **AMCO** is unaware of any issues that would change the

normal order of proof.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

### **DEFENDANT, GROHE:**

**GROHE** does not believe any issues in this case should be severed or bifurcated. **GROHE** is unaware of any issues that would change the normal order of proof.

### 9. PRINCIPAL ISSUES IN THE CASE.

### **PLAINTIFF, AMCO:**

**AMCO** anticipates that issues of liability related to **AMCO**'s products liability claims as well as the type and amount of damages claimed will be litigated.

### **DEFENDANT, GROHE:**

**GROHE** denies manufacturing a defective product and believes the alleged problems resulted from improper installation. **GROHE** anticipates a dispute over liability as well as the claimed damages of **AMCO**.

### 10. AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS.

### **PLAINTIFF, AMCO:**

AMCO intends to amend its Complaint to assert a claim against **VOSS**, unless such becomes unnecessary during the course of this litigation. Otherwise, **AMCO** does not anticipate the amendment of any pleadings unless any currently unknown but necessary parties are revealed during discovery, in which case **AMCO** will seek leave of this Court to amend its Complaint to add such parties.

### **DEFENDANT, GROHE:**

**GROHE** does not anticipate the amendment of any pleadings and other than the Third Party Complaint against **VOSS**.

### 11. **DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS.**

### 25 PLAINTIFF, AMCO:

If necessary, **AMCO** will file a Motion for Summary Judgment against **GROHE**.

///

**DEFENDANT, GROHE:** 

GROHE will file a Motion for Summary Judgment against AMCO.

LAW OFFICES OF GOATES &

Attorneys for Plaintiff, AMCO

INSURANCE CO.

DATED: 4/10/08

**WORTHE, HANSON & WORTHE** 

Attorneys for Defendant, GROHE AMERICA, INC.

1

### PROOF OF SERVICE

2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA) COUNTY OF ORANGE 3 I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a 4 party to the within action. My business address is 1851 East First Street, Ninth Floor, Santa Ana, California 92705. 5 On April 11, 2008, I served the foregoing document described as JOINT REPORT to all 6 interested parties in said action by: 7 BY FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION from FAX No. (714)285-9700 to the FAX number(s) listed below. The facsimile machine I used complied with Rule 2003(3) and no error was report by 8 the machine. Fax Number(s): 9 BY PERSONAL SERVICE as follows: I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the 10 offices of the addressee. X BY MAIL as follows: 11 placing □ the original ☒ a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope addressed as stated 12 on the ATTACHED MAILING LIST. I deposited such envelope in the mail at Santa Ana, California. The envelope was 13 mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on 14 that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Santa Ana, California in the ordinary course of 15 business. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one (1) day after date of deposit for mailing in 16 affidavit. 17 BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY: I deposited such an envelope in a box or other facility regularly maintained by express service carrier, or delivered to an authorized courier or driver authorized by the express service carrier to receive documents in an envelope or package designated 18 by the express service carrier with delivery fees paid or provided for, addressed to the person on whom it is to be served as indicated on the attached Service List, at the office address as last given 19 by that person on any document filed in the case and served o the party making service. 20 STATE - I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the 21 above is true and correct.  $\mathbf{X}$ 22 FEDERAL - I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made. 23 Executed on April 11, 2008, at Santa Ana, California. 24 25 26 27 28

PROOF OF SERVICE